
## **DLG Test Report 6160 F**

# Maschinenfabrik Bernard Krone GmbH Leica Geosystems mojoXact with mojo3D Terminal

Automatic Steering Systems





### Overview

The Focus Test is a DLG usability test intended to allow product differentiation and special highlighting of innovations in machinery and technical products used primarily in agriculture, forestry, horticulture, fruit cultivation and viticulture, as well as in landscape and municipal management.

This test focuses on testing a product's individual qualitative criteria, e.g. fatigue strength, performance, or quality of work.

The scope of testing can include criteria from the testing framework of a SignumTest, the DLG's extensive usability test for technical products, and concludes with the publishing of a test report and the awarding of a test mark.



The DLG Focus Test "Automatic Steering Systems" includes testing the

accuracy and operation of automatic steering systems in agricultural

vehicles. In addition to measuring the steering accuracy under various operating conditions, examinations are also made of the behaviour during GNSS signal shading and loss of the correction signal, as well as of the operation, the system's display and control elements, the operating instructions and help functions, and the safety devices.

Other criteria were not investigated.

## Assessment – Brief Summary

The Leica Geosystems steering system, which was connected via the internal ISOBUS on a Krone Big X 700 and tested in this combination, provides a system accuracy in the range of 4-6 cm for A-B runs and 13 cm in the contour run in conjunction with a mobile-communications connection to the "Leica smartNet" virtual RTK network.

In addition to the GPS satellites, the GLONASS satellite system is also used.

The system provides average availability of the GNSS signal in the event of partial shading. The system's long-term accuracy is excellent, however, even when using the mobile Leica "smartNet" correction service. The softwarebased backup system allows up to 10 minutes' operation with reduced accuracy when the mobile-communications connection is interrupted.

Table 1: Overview of results

Steering accuracy	95% class*
Level 8 km/h	4 cm
Level 15 km/h	5 cm
Beam track 5 km/h	6 cm
Contour 5 km/h	13 cm
Level 8 km/h, long-term test	4 cm

Signal behaviour	Assessment
Behaviour on partial shading	[o]
Behaviour on correction-signal loss	[+]
Operation/ergonomics	Assessment
Operating instructions/help system	[0]
Operation	[+]
Terminal and control elements	[+]
Safety	Assessment

Safety	Assessmen
Safety devices acc. to ISO 10975	[+]

Definition: 95% of all deviations from the reference line are <= the stated error class Evaluation range:  $+ + / + / \circ / - / - - (\circ = Standard, N/A = Not Assessed)$ 

### The Product

### **Manufacturer and Applicant**

Maschinenfabrik Bernard Krone GmbH Heinrich-Krone-Straße 10 D-48480 Spelle www.krone.de Produkt:

Leica Geosystems mojoXact with mojo3D terminal

Contact:

Telephone +49 5977 935-0 Info.ldm@krone.de

#### **Description and Technical Data**

In the test of the Leica mojoXact steering system with mojo3D terminal, the system was connected to a Krone Big X 700 forage harvester via an internal ISOBUS connection. Here, the steering system accesses e.g. the harvester's factory-fitted steering hydraulics.

The forage harvester has an output power of 570 kW according to the ECE R120 standard; Table 2

summarises the further technical data, the measured vehicle geometry, the tyres used, and the settings parameters used for the steering system. The steering system's settings were configured according to the manufacturer's specifications.

Table 2: Technical data, vehicle geometry, tyres and settings parameters of the steering system

echnical data, vehicle geometry, tyres and	i settings parameters of the steering syst	em -	
Technical data of the steering system			
Steering-system type	Leica Geosystems mojoXact with mojo3D terminal		
GNSS receiver (incl. antenna)	ANT 200 GG		
GNSS satellite reception	GPS, GLONASS (free of charge)		
Correction-signal system	virtual RTK network		
Correction-signal transmission route	Mobile communications		
Correction-signal type	Leica smartNet		
Signal accuracy	2 cm		
Technical data of the forage harvester:			
Manufacturer	Maschinenfabrik Bernard Krone GmbH		
Туре	Big X 700		
Power (ECE-R120)	570 kW MAX		
Max. speed	40 km/h		
Tare weight	15835 kg		
Total permitted weight	22000 kg		
Measured vehicle geometry			
Axle height, front axle – measuring point	190 cm		
Height of measuring point above ground	44 cm		
Tyres			
	Front axle	Rear axle	
Туре	Continental Contract AC70	Continental Contract AC65	
Size	650/75 R32	540/65 R30	
Air pressure (manufacturer's specification)	1.3 bar	1.6 bar	
Steering-system settings			
Steering aggression	100		
Steering-angle sensor	Not applicable		
P-factor	Not applicable		
Steering behaviour	100		

DLG Test Report 6160 F Page 3 of 8

### The Method

The accuracy of the automatic steering system was determined by measurement with an optical reference system. Here, a tacheometer automatically follows a prism attached to the harvester's cutting-bar mount and records the measured values. The test setup is shown in Figure 2.

The measurements were taken on the testing ground of the DLG Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs in Groß-Umstadt (see Figure 3) and were accompanied by one of the manufacturer's staff. All settings were configured according to the manufacturer's specifications. The following measurements were taken:

A-B run on an even track at 8 km/h or 15 km/h

The accuracy for a straight-line run was determined at various practice-relevant speeds from starting point A to end point B on the level track. To determine the long-term behaviour with respect to the stored A-B reference line, the test was repeated at 8 km/h

after more than 24 hours. The size of the deviation from the reference value obtained in the reference run was determined from the root mean square of three measurement runs in each case. The results were presented in error classes of 1 cm each and the resulting 95 % sum of the error classes; i.e. 95 % of all measurements lie within this range.

A-B run on a beam track at 5 km/h

The steering system's dynamic behaviour on an uneven track was simulated reproducibly on a beam track according to the 78/764/EWG standard in order to measure the cabin and seat comfort (see Figure 4). The industry-standard practice of mounting the GNSS antenna on the cabin's roof leads to varying lateral deviations as a result of the uneven track and the cabin's suspension; these deviations must be corrected by the steering system.

A-B run on an inclined track at 5 km/h

To check the dynamic behaviour while entering and exiting an inclined track with a height of 25 cm (Figure 5), this test also shows the effectiveness of the integrated tilt compensation.

A-B run with partial GNSS shading

In practice, (partial) shading of the GNSS signal primarily occurs as a result of trees or buildings. In the test, this situation is simulated by covering the GNSS receiver with a test hood shielded to a level of 50% with copper foil. The system's behaviour, such as a visual and acoustic response, can therefore be documented. It is also noted whether it was possible to activate the automatic steering and to drive e.g. with reduced accuracy (fall-back option) under these conditions.

A-B run with total loss of the correction signal

To simulate a total loss of the correction signal, the radio antenna



Figure 2: Measurement setup of the optical reference system



① = Checking the tacheometer for radial run-out

- (2) = Bumpy track
- 3 = A-B run with offset
- $\bigcirc$  = A-B run
- (5) = Gradient
- 6 = Contour

Figure 3: Measurement tracks on the testing ground

of the locally stationed RTK station was removed; to simulate the signal's return, it was reattached. In the meantime, the RTK station remained switched on. For connections via mobile communications, the receiver antenna was removed.

#### Contour run at 5 km/h

For the contour run (along the semicircle of the test track), a reference line was driven manually and recorded by the steering system. The deviation of the error classes is expressed in relation to the reference run.

#### Operation and ergonomics

In the field of operation and ergonomics, the operating instructions and/or the help system accessible in the terminal were firstly checked for completeness, clarity and comprehensibility. Further operational aids such as a quick-start guide or a help system accessible via the internet are also included in this assessment. Furthermore, the operation of the help features is tested by way of two specific questions. One was the definition of an A-B path and the other was the help offered for "Troubleshooting signal faults". The operation of the system itself was characterised by the number of operating steps needed to teach in an A-B path



Figure 4:
Driving on the beam track at the DLG Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs

and the subsequent activation of the automatic steering function.

The legibility and operation of the terminal during the day and at night are particularly important for practical use. Sunlight from behind during the day is especially problematic for the users because they cannot see the display due to reflections and, where applicable, cannot operate elements on a touchscreen. At night, the terminal must not cause glare or fatigue for the driver.

The safety devices for an automatic steering system are essentially predefined in the ISO 10975 standard. For example, the presence of a driver must be verified using a seat contact, and the automatic steering must automatically deactivate itself in the event of manual intervention or signal faults. The driver should be informed of the deactivation visually or acoustically.



Figure 5: Driving on the inclined track, 25 cm high

### The Test Results in Detail

As shown by the example of the A-B runs at 8 or 15 km/h on a level track in Figure 7, the 95 % class of deviations is determined using the frequency distribution and the deviation class. At a driving speed of 8 km/h, as well as in the long-term test after more than 24 hours, the system achieved accuracy in the 4 cm class in 95 % of cases. The other results are summarised in Table 3 and Figures 7 and 8.

The representation of the A-B run on the inclined track at 5 km/h (Figure 9) shows how the system responds during gradient compensation. The offset on the plateau of the ramp was approx. 10 cm. On entering the ramp, there was a brief overshoot in the region of approx. 17 cm; on exiting, this was in the range of approx. 10 cm.

To determine the accuracy in a contour run, a reference line was manually driven along the semicircular section of the test track and recorded by the steering system. The deviation in the error class is expressed in relation to the reference run.

The shading and signal-loss tests yielded the results shown in Table 4.

The suggested solutions to two predefined problems were determined in order to assess the operating instructions and the help system. Firstly, an A-B path was to be defined. Both the quick-start guide

Table 3: Accuracy classes achieved under various test conditions

Test condition	95% class
A-B run on an even track at 8 km/h	4 cm
Long-term accuracy: repetition after >24h	4 cm
A-B run on an even track at 15 km/h	5 cm
A-B run on the beam track at 5 km/h	6 cm
Contour run at 5 km/h	13 cm

and the main operating instructions (in the "Setting Guidance Types" section) contain easy-to-understand instructions for this task. The assessment is therefore [+] = "Better than standard".

Secondly, an assessment was made of the troubleshooting information for signal faults occurring with the GNSS or correction signal. Here, there is no description of the individual fault conditions in the operating instructions, leading to an assessment of [-] = "Worse than standard".

The quick-start guide with a straightforward structure is considered positive, however, such that the operating instructions and help system were ultimately assessed as [o] = "Standard".

With regard to the system's operation, it took four operating steps to teach in an A-B path, leading to an assessment of [+] = "Better than standard". The subsequent simple activation of the automatic steering function is achieved very easily via a release switch in the armrest and by



Figure 6: Control and display elements

pushing a button on the device's joystick; this was also assessed as [+] = "Better than standard".

The overall assessment of the operation is therefore [+] = "Better than standard".

The display is mounted on the right front bar in a low-vibration manner and can be adjusted both horizontally and vertically. Though relatively small at 7", it still provides excellent legibility, leading to an assessment of [+] = "Better than standard" in both categories. The brightness can be adjusted manually. The legibility is therefore [o] = "Standard"




Figure 7: Deviation rates (A-B run on a level track at 8 or 15 km/h and on a beam track at 5 km/h)




Figure 8: Deviation rates (contour run at 5 km/h)

Figure 9: Comparison of reference/measurement run on the inclined track

during the day and at night, and the overall assessment is [+] = "Better than standard".

With regard to the safety devices according to ISO 10975 (Safety requirements in tractors and machinery for agriculture – Auto-guidance systems for operator-controlled trac-

tors and self-propelled machines), the Leica mojoXact with mojo3D terminal achieved an overall assessment of [+] = "Better than standard". The presence of a driver is checked continuously, and the automatic steering system deactivates itself immediately if the driver manually intervenes in steering. The same ap-

plies should an incorrect direction be possible as a result of signal faults in the GNSS or correction signal; there are even simultaneous warnings for this on the Krone and Leica display, along with a signal tone. The switching on or activation of the automatic steering is indicated by pictograms on the terminal.

Table 4: Results and assessment of the shading and signal-loss tests

Partial GNSS shading		Assessment	
Time until reaction	6 sec	Behaviour on GNSS signal shading:	
Visual response	On-screen error message		
Acoustic response	Signal tone		
Comments	With partial shading, it was not possible to activate the automatic steering for a longer period of time		
Total loss of correction signal		Assessment	
Time until reaction on signal loss	10 min with "GUIDE" reserve system	Correction signal loss: [+]	
Time until reaction on signal return	30-50 sec		
Visual response	Loss: on-screen error message, return: on-screen icon		
Acoustic response	Signal tone		
Comments	When reception of the correction signal fails, the system switches to the software-based "GUIDE" reserve system. During this time, it is possible to continue driving with activated automatic steering at reduced accuracy. This system can be set to operate for up to 10 minutes.		

### Summary

The test criteria of the DLG Focus Test "Automatic Steering Systems" assess the basic function of a system, as well as the deviations from the ideal line as described in detail above. On a Krone Big X 700, the mojo3D from Leica Geosystems represents a state-of-the-art automatic steering system and can be recommended for use in agricultural machines and tractors.

### **Further Information**

Further tests on automatic steering systems are available to download at www.dlg-test.de/lenksysteme. The DLG Labour Management and Process Technology Committee has published two instruction leaflets on the topic of "automatic steering systems" with the titles "GPS in Agriculture" (Instruction Leaflet 316) and "Satellite Positioning Systems" (Instruction Leaflet 388). These are available free of charge in PDF format at www.dlg.org/merkblaetter.html.

#### **Test Execution**

DLG e.V., Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs, Max-Eyth-Weg 1, 64823 Groß-Umstadt

#### **DLG Testing Framework**

Focus Test
"Automatic Steering Systems"
(Revised 03/2013)

#### **Field**

Vehicle technology

#### Project manager

Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Ai

#### Test engineer(s)

Dipl.-Ing. Jürgen Goldmann Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Horn

### The DLG

In addition to conducting its well-known tests of agricultural technology, farm inputs and foodstuffs, the DLG acts as a neutral, open forum for knowledge exchange and opinion-forming in the agricultural and food industry.

Around 180 head-office staff and more than 3,000 expert volunteers develop solutions to current problems. More than 80 committees, working groups and commissions form the basis for expertise and continuity in technical work. Work at the DLG includes the preparation of technical information for the agricultural sector in the form of instruction leaflets and working documents, as well as contributions to specialist magazines and books.

The DLG organises the world's leading trade exhibitions for the agriculture and food industry.

In doing so, it helps to discover modern products, processes and services and to make these transparent to the public.

## The DLG Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs

The DLG Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs in Groß-Umstadt sets the benchmark for tested agricultural technology and farm inputs and is the leading provider of testing and certification services for independent technology tests. With the latest measurement technology and practical testing methods, the DLG's test engineers carry out testing of product developments and innovations.

As an EU-notified test laboratory with multiple accreditations, the DLG Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs provides farmers and practitioners with important information and decision-making aids, in the form of its recognised technology tests and DLG tests, to assist in the planning of investments in agricultural technologies and farm inputs.

#### **ENTAM**

European Network for Testing of Agricultural Machines is the association of European test centres. ENTAM's objective is the Europe-wide distribution of test results for farmers, agricultural equipment dealers, and producers. More information about the Network is available at www.entam. com or by writing to ENTAM at the email address: info@entam.com



13-00421-4 © 2013 DLG



### DLG e.V.

Test Center Technology and Farm Inputs

Max-Eyth-Weg 1, D-64823 Groß-Umstadt,

Telephone: +49 69 24788-600, Fax: +49 69 24788-690

tech@DLG.org, www.DLG.org

Download all DLG test reports at: www.DLG.org/testsagriculture.html!

DLG Test Report 6160 F Page 8 of 8